



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,634	12/17/2001	Bruce C. Monk	AT-2	6544
7590 06/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Joseph E. Fun PO Box 661	k	•	PEESO, THOMAS R	
Londonderry, 1	NH 03053		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• •			2132	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u></u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/022,634	MONK, BRUCE C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum some of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) My will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	·				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from consideration.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific sp	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey g the correction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/022,634

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11-16, 18, 20, 21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,070,240 to Xydis.

As per claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18, 21, Xydis discloses the limitations of these claims (col. 3, 56-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 10, 17, 19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xydis as applied to claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11-16, 18, 20, 21, 23-26 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 6, 7, 22, Xydis does not specifically disclose these features. The examiner, however, does take official notice that these features are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention of Xydis to include these features since the information being provided most likely will always be contained in the document and the person providing the information is certainly a trusted source.

As per claims 10, 17, 19, the examiner further takes official notice that this limitation is when in the art since the remote location isolates the comparison means from the identifying means in order to ensure that no conflict results which could compromise the security of the information.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 5131038 to Puhl et al.
- U. S. Patent No. 5343529 to Goldfine et al.
- U. S. Patent No. 4980913 to Skret.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 to 3:30.

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner Art Unit 2132

June 21, 2005